

D-brane Inflation¹

G. Dvali^{a2} and Q. Shafi^{b3} and S. Solganik^{a4}

^a*New York University, Department of Physics, New York, NY 10003, USA.*

^b*Bartol Research Institute, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA.*

Abstract

We discuss a calculable version of brane inflation, in which a set of parallel D-brane and anti-D-brane worlds, initially displaced in extra dimension, slowly attract each other. In the effective four-dimensional theory this slow motion of branes translates into a slow-roll of a scalar field (proportional to their separation) with a flat potential that drives inflation. The number of possible e-foldings is severely constrained. The scalar spectral index is found to be 0.97, while the effective compactification scale is of order 10^{12} GeV. Reheating of the Universe is provided by collision and subsequent annihilation of branes.

¹Talk given by Q.S. at the workshop in Heidelberg (April 4-7, 2001), and at the EURESCO conference in Le Londe (France) May 11-16, 2001.

²E-mail address: gd23@scires.nyu.edu

³E-mail address: shafi@bartol.udel.edu

⁴E-mail address: ss706@scires.nyu.edu

An inflationary scenario may be regarded as successful if it satisfies the following constraints:

(i) The vacuum energy V that drives inflation is sufficiently flat along the inflationary trajectory, so that at least the required minimum number (say 60) of e-foldings can be generated to resolve the horizon and flatness problems.

(ii) The spectral index of the scalar density fluctuations should be close to unity (see, for instance, [1]). Of course, the magnitude of $\delta T/T$ generated by inflation should also agree with the observations.

(iii) A mechanism should exist for providing a satisfactory end to inflation and subsequent generation of the observed baryon asymmetry.

Among all these constraints, it appears that (i) is often the hardest to satisfy. The ‘slow roll’ condition, needed to implement inflation, requires that the effective interaction term $(V(\phi)/M_P^2)\phi^*\phi$ be absent along the inflationary trajectory. Here ϕ denotes the inflaton (scalar field that drives inflation), and $M_P = 2.4 \times 10^{18}$ GeV is the reduced Planck mass. The absence of this term is demanded so that $m_\phi^2 \ll H^2$, and inflation becomes possible. This is hard to understand in conventional four-dimensional theories. In general, it is expected that quantum gravity corrections can spoil this flatness. If the Hubble parameter during the ‘would’ be inflation is H , it is generally believed that the quantum gravity correction can generate a curvature $\sim H^2$ of the inflaton potential, and thus violate the slow-roll conditions necessary for the inflation.

To make the origin of this correction clearer, let us assume that the potential that can lead to the successful slow-roll conditions is $V(\phi)$, where ϕ is an inflaton field. One of the necessary conditions is that the curvature of the potential, at least in some region, is smaller than the Hubble parameter

$$H^2 \sim V/3M_P^2. \tag{1}$$

Suppose this is the case for a given V . However, it is hard to understand what forbids in

the low-energy effective theory terms such as

$$\bar{\phi}\phi\frac{V}{M_P^2}. \quad (2)$$

(bar stands for hermitian conjugation). These are not forbidden by any symmetries of the effective field theory. If present, they would break condition (1), unless various parts of the potential are carefully adjusted.

A nice example of the difficulty involved is provided by the following relatively well motivated inflationary model based on supersymmetry (SUSY) [2], which in fact is a supersymmetric realization of the hybrid inflationary scenario[3]. Consider the symmetry breaking $G \rightarrow H$, implemented through the superpotential

$$W = \kappa S(\bar{\phi}\phi - \mu^2), \quad (3)$$

where κ, μ^2 can be taken positive, S is a gauge singlet superfield, and ϕ and its adjoint $\bar{\phi}$ belong to suitable representations of G in order to break it to H . From W it is readily checked that the supersymmetric (SUSY) minimum corresponds to the following vacuum expectation value:

$$|\langle\phi\rangle| = |\langle\bar{\phi}\rangle| = \mu, \quad \langle S \rangle = 0.$$

(After SUSY breaking of order $m_{3/2}$, $\langle S \rangle$ may acquire a value proportional to $m_{3/2}$).

In order to implement inflation we must assume that in the early universe, the fields are displaced from their present day minimum. For $S \gg S_c = \mu$, the minimum of the potential corresponds to $\langle\phi\rangle = \langle\bar{\phi}\rangle = 0$. In other words, there is symmetry restoration, while SUSY is broken by the non-zero vacuum energy density $\kappa^2\mu^4$ (It may help to think of the real part of the complex scalar S as temperature). Taking account of mass splittings in the $\phi, \bar{\phi}$ supermultiplets, the one loop radiatively corrected superpotential is given by

$$V_{eff}(S) = \kappa^2\mu^4 \left[1 + \frac{d\kappa^2}{16\pi^2} \log \left(\frac{\kappa^2|S|^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) \right], \quad (4)$$

where d =dimension of ϕ , $\bar{\phi}$, and Λ is some cutoff.

The scalar spectral index turns out to be

$$n \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{N_Q} = 0.98, \quad (5)$$

where N_Q denotes the number of e-foldings experienced during inflation by the current horizon scale. The symmetry breaking scale can be estimated from the following approximate formula for $(\delta T/T)_Q$ [6]:

$$\left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)_Q \simeq \frac{8\pi}{\sqrt{d}} \left(\frac{N_Q}{45}\right) \left(\frac{\mu}{M_P}\right)^2, \quad (6)$$

which yields $\mu \sim 10^{15} - 10^{16}$ GeV, reminiscent of the grand unification scale. This looks promising but the important challenge now is to address the supergravity (SUGRA) corrections. Do the latter generate a mass² term for S along the inflationary trajectory that ruins inflation? Within the SUGRA framework, the potential takes the form

$$V = \exp(K/(M_P^2)) \left[(K^{-1})^j_i F^i F_j - \frac{3|W|^2}{M_P^2} \right], \quad (7)$$

where the Kahler potential K has the form

$$K = |S|^2 + |\phi|^2 + |\bar{\phi}|^2 + \frac{b|S|^4}{M_P^2} + \dots, \quad (8)$$

and $F^i = W^i + K^i W/M_P^2$, with upper (lower) indices denoting differentiation with respect to ϕ_i (ϕ^{j*}).

The $|S|^2$ term in K could prove troublesome, but fortunately with the form of W we have chosen (which can be generalized to include higher order terms, using a suitable $U(1)$ R-symmetry), the problem with the mass² term for S is evaded. However, the $|S|^4$ term in K generates, via $\partial^2 K / \partial S \partial S = 1 + 4b|S|^2/M_P^2$, a mass² term for S of order H^2 which is bad for inflation! Thus $b \ll 1$ (say of order 10^{-3} or less) is needed in order to implement inflation, which may not seem very appealing. Fortunately, the higher order terms in K turn out to be harmless.

The above discussion provides a good example of how SUGRA corrections can potentially ruin an otherwise quite respectable inflationary scenario. So the question to ask is: How can we do better?

One way to avoid such terms is to rely on a more fundamental theory that could forbid such terms due to reasons that cannot be seen in the low energy effective field theory as the result of symmetries. An example of such a situation is provided by the idea of “brane inflation” [4], in which the dangerous mass term for the inflaton is forbidden by locality of the high-dimensional theory. In this picture, the inflaton is a field (ϕ) that parameterizes the distance (r) between two brane worlds embedded in the extra space. The typical distance between these two branes is much bigger than the string scale, so that the potential between them is essentially governed by the infrared bulk (super)gravity. The effects of higher string excitations are all decoupled. The potential at large distances has an inverse power-law dependence on the inter-brane distance

$$V(r) = M_s^4 \left(a - \frac{b}{(M_s r)^{N-2}} \right) \quad (9)$$

where M_s is the string scale, and a and b are some constants. In the effective four-dimensional field theory picture, this potential translates as the potential for the inflaton field ϕ and is automatically sufficiently flat. Thus, in this picture the inflation in four dimensions is nothing but the brane motion in the extra space. Branes falling on top of each other drive inflation in our space. The flatness of the inflaton potential is the result of the locality in high-dimensional theory: no terms with positive powers of ϕ can be generated in the effective potential, due to the fact that inter-brane interactions fall-off with the distance.

The aim of the present paper is to discuss a “calculable” version of the brane inflationary scenario [4], in which inflation is driven by D-anti-D-brane pair that attract each other and annihilate. The advantage of such a set-up is that the brane-antibrane potential is rather well known and has fewer free parameters. As we shall see this puts

severe restriction on the number of e-foldings during inflation. Before discussing this scenario let us briefly review some needed properties of D-branes. D-branes are soliton like configurations that arise in type IIA, IIB and type I string theories. For instance, in type II B theory, the elementary excitations are closed strings, while D-p branes in these theories are p-dimensional objects (p odd), whose dynamics is described by the theory of open strings with ends lying on the D-brane. D-branes also carry Ramond-Ramond (RR) charges, and their mass (tension) is proportional to $1/g$, where g denotes the string coupling.

The interaction energy between two parallel static D-p branes in the large separation limit ($r \gg M_s^{-1}$, where M_s denotes the string scale) is given by [5]

$$\mathcal{E}(r) = 2V_p \kappa_{(10)}^2 [\rho_{(p)}^2 - T_{(p)}^2] \Delta_{(9-p)}^E(r), \quad (10)$$

where $\kappa_{(10)} = M_{10}^{-4}$ (M_{10} is the ten-dimensional Planck mass), $T_{(p)}$ is the brane tension, $\rho_{(p)}$ is the RR charge density, $\Delta_{(9-p)}^E(r)$ is the Euclidean scalar propagator in $(9-p)$ transverse dimensions and $V_{(p)}$ is the p -dimensional normalized volume. With $\rho_{(p)}^2 = T_{(p)}^2$ for parallel branes, the above interaction energy vanishes.

For a D-brane - anti D-brane system the coupling to dilaton and graviton is unchanged, but the coupling to the RR tensor is reversed in sign, so that the two terms in the interaction energy add. Thus, the energy per unit volume is

$$E(r) = 4\kappa_{(10)}^2 T_{(p)}^2 \Delta_{(9-p)}^E(r). \quad (11)$$

The tension of a D-p brane is given by

$$T_{(p)}^2 = \frac{\pi}{\kappa_{(10)}^2} \left(4\pi^2 \alpha' \right)^{3-p},$$

where $\alpha' = 1/2M_s^{-2}$ and equation (11) becomes

$$E(r) = 4\pi (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{3-p} \Delta_{(9-p)}^E(r).$$

Let us now focus on D-3 branes. Note that we could also consider alternative configurations, like a D-5 brane wrapped on S^2 or any other effective D-3 branes. This may alter the power law behavior of the interaction energy, but would not dramatically influence the inflation scenario. We have

$$E(r) = 4\pi\Delta_{(6)}^E(r),$$

where

$$\Delta_{(6)}^E(r) = -\frac{1}{32\pi^3 r^4},$$

so that

$$E(r) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2 r^4}. \quad (12)$$

The total energy of a single pair of brane-antibrane is given by

$$V(r) = 2T_{(3)} + E(r) = 2T_{(3)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{16\pi^2 T_{(3)} r^4}\right). \quad (13)$$

Let us now argue that such a setup provides us with a scalar (inflaton) field. The D-brane action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{D_p} &= T_{(p)} \int d^{p+1}\zeta \, e^{(p-3)\Phi/4} \sqrt{-\det \hat{g}_{\alpha\beta}} + \dots \\ &\approx T_{(p)} \int d^{(p+1)}\zeta \, e^{(p-3)\Phi/4} \frac{1}{2} \partial^\alpha X_\mu \partial_\alpha X^\mu + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where X_μ are the transverse coordinates. Because we have a parallel brane - antibrane pair, we can define $r = |X_\mu|$. So the D-brane action gives us a kinetic term for the scalar field, and in particular for D3-branes we have

$$I_{(3)} = T_{(3)} \frac{1}{2} \int d^4\zeta \, \partial^\alpha X_\mu \partial_\alpha X^\mu \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int d^4\zeta \, \partial^\alpha \phi \partial_\alpha \phi, \quad (15)$$

Such a scalar field corresponds to a small transverse oscillation of the brane and, in fact, can be regarded as a Goldstone boson of the spontaneously broken translation invariance

in the extra space (by the brane). The inflaton field is a mode corresponding to a *relative* motion of two such (parallel) branes and thus, is a linear combination of two such scalars living on different branes[4]. The normalized inflaton field $\phi = \sqrt{T_{(3)}} r$. In terms of ϕ we have the following potential

$$V(\phi) = 2T_{(3)} \left(1 - \frac{T_{(3)}}{16\pi^2\phi^4} \right) \equiv M^4 \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\phi^4} \right), \quad (16)$$

with $\alpha = T_{(3)}/16\pi^2$.

Following [4], we now explore the possibility of brane driven inflation using the potential given in (16). Let us first outline some of our assumptions:

(i) We assume that the extra dimensions are stabilized with

$$m_{Radion}^2 \gg \frac{2T_{(3)}}{M_P^2} (\sim H^2). \quad (17)$$

In such a situation the size of the extra dimensions can be considered frozen during inflation. The inter-brane motion will drive four dimensional inflation.

(ii) The effective four-dimensional Hubble size H^{-1} should be larger than the size R_c of the extra dimensions. This allows us to treat the universe as four dimensional at distances $\sim H^{-1}$. The evolution of the four dimensional scale factor is governed by

$$H^2 = (\dot{a}/a)^2 = \rho_{eff}/3M_P^2, \quad (18)$$

where $\rho_{eff} = T\dot{r}^2 + V(r)$, and we require $T\dot{r}^2 \ll V(r)$.

For inflation we can consider more general potential

$$V(\phi) = M^4 \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\phi^n} \right), \quad (19)$$

where ϕ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2} + 3H\frac{d\phi}{dt} + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0. \quad (20)$$

To implement inflation we must satisfy the slow roll condition

$$\ddot{\phi} \ll 3H\dot{\phi},$$

as well as the flatness conditions

$$\epsilon \ll 1, \quad \epsilon \equiv \frac{1}{2}M_P^2\left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2, \quad (21)$$

$$|\eta| \ll 1, \quad \eta \equiv M_P^2 \frac{V''}{V}. \quad (22)$$

The potential $V(\phi)$ from (16) is essentially flat with $\phi^n \gg \alpha$ which requires

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &\gg \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}M_P\alpha n\right)^{1/(n+1)}, \\ \phi &\gg \left(M_P^2\alpha n(n+1)\right)^{1/(n+2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

For $\alpha < M_P^n$, the second condition is stronger, so the critical value of the field at which inflation stops is given by

$$\phi_c = \left(M_P^2\alpha n(n+1)\right)^{1/(n+2)}. \quad (24)$$

The number of e -foldings during inflation is given by

$$N(\phi) = \int_{\phi_c}^{\phi} M_P^{-2} \frac{V(\phi)}{V'(\phi)} d\phi = \frac{1}{\alpha n M_P^2} \int_{\phi_c}^{\phi} (\phi^{n+1} - \alpha\phi) d\phi \approx \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_c}\right)^{n+2}. \quad (25)$$

For $n = 4$ (D3-branes),

$$N(\phi) \approx \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_c}\right)^6 = \frac{T_{(3)}^3 r^6}{20\alpha M_P^2} = \frac{4\pi^2 T_{(3)}^2 r^6}{5M_P^2}. \quad (26)$$

The tension of the 3-brane is given by

$$T_{(3)}^2 = \frac{\pi}{\kappa_{(10)}^2} = \pi M_{(10)}^8 = \pi \frac{M_P^2}{V_c},$$

where V_c is the volume of the compactified space. Thus,

$$N(\phi) = \frac{4}{5}\pi^3 \frac{r^6}{V_c}, \quad (27)$$

where r is the initial 3-brane - anti 3-brane separation.

Let us consider an example with a specific compactification geometry. We will take T^6 with the same compactification radius r_c for all dimensions, so that the maximal initial distance between the branes is $\sqrt{6}\pi r_c$. For the number of e -foldings we have

$$N(\phi) = \frac{4}{5}\pi^3 \frac{(\sqrt{6}\pi r_c)^6}{(2\pi r_c)^6} \approx 80, \quad (28)$$

so that inflation can be realized in this case. It seems possible to increase the number of e -foldings by choosing some other reasonable compactification geometry.

For the scalar spectral index one obtains

$$n \simeq 1 - \frac{2}{N_Q} \simeq 0.97, \quad (29)$$

which is in excellent agreement with the current measurements [1]. Furthermore, from the spectrum measurements by COBE at the scale $k \simeq 7.5H_0$ (more or less the center of the range explored by COBE), and neglecting gravitational waves (since $H \sim 10^9 \text{GeV} \ll M_P$), one can deduce [6]

$$M_p^{-3} V^{3/2} / V' = 5.3 \times 10^{-4}.$$

This gives us an estimate of the compactification volume V_c

$$M_c \equiv (V_c)^{-1/6} \sim 10^{12} \text{GeV}. \quad (30)$$

In our discussion we have so far ignored the presence of the tachyonic field χ associated with the brane-antibrane system [7]. As long as they are sufficiently far apart this is a reasonable approximation, and the inflationary potential in (16) provides a very good approximation. When the brane-antibrane separation becomes comparable to the string scale, however, the field χ cannot be ignored. Let us parameterize the situation as follows:

$$V + \delta V = M^4 \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\phi^4} \right) + \chi^2 (\phi^2 - M_s^2). \quad (31)$$

Thus, as long as $\phi \gg M_s$ (string scale), we can safely ignore the second term in (31), and the inflationary potential in (16) remains valid. (Note that $M_s \geq M_c$ ensures that $\phi_c \geq \phi_s$, where ϕ_s denotes the value of ϕ at the string scale M_s^{-1}). However, for small values of ϕ the tachyonic instability sets in and branes annihilate. This is the equivalent of tachyon condensation into the vacuum. In this respect our brane inflation is in some sense the hybrid inflation[3][2]⁵.

Finally, let us provide an order of magnitude estimate of the reheat temperature T_r which appears after the merger and subsequent annihilation of the brane- antibrane system. We assume that the annihilation energy is dumped in the bulk, so that the effective decay rate Γ on our 3-brane is $\Gamma \sim T_{(3)}^{1/4}/(M_{10}R_c)^6$, where $R_c \sim 10^{-12}\text{GeV}^{-1}$ denotes the effective length scale of the extra dimensions. The oscillations are damped out when the Hubble time becomes comparable to Γ^{-1} , and our brane ‘reheats’ to a temperature $T_r \sim 0.1(\Gamma M_P)^{1/2} \sim 10^{10}\text{GeV}$.

In conclusion, our brane inflationary scenario is based on a brane - antibrane system initially separated in the extra dimension and slowly moving towards each other. Due to this slow motion (protected by locality in the extra space[4]) it can circumvent the problem of ‘slow roll’ inflation encountered in SUGRA and non-supersymmetric 4D-field theory models. The model can be naturally applied to brane world models, and it also works for bulk ‘inhabitants’ with compactified extra dimensions. We find an inflationary potential of the form $V(\phi) = M^4(1 - \alpha/\phi^n)$, $n > 0$, which is a hallmark of this class of models. No fine tuning or small couplings are required. For inflation to occur, the initial brane - antibrane pair should be sufficiently far apart, but they need not be exactly (or almost exactly) parallel. All that is needed is that in a region of the size of a Hubble volume the branes are approximately parallel so that the gradient energy of the inter-brane separation field ϕ is subdominant compared to the potential energy of separated

⁵We thank E. Kiritsis for this comment.

branes. Such a region will then expand exponentially and dominate the universe. In this respect, the required initial conditions are identical to the ones in 4D hybrid inflationary scenarios[3],[2].

The magnitude of the scalar density fluctuations are proportional to M_c/M_P , where $M_c \sim 10^{12}\text{GeV}$ denotes the effective compactification scale of the six extra dimensions. The precise predictions are model dependent and require a better understanding of brane - antibrane annihilation dynamics, which should also provide insights on the gravitino constraint.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank E. Kiritsis and M. Porrati for helpful discussions. The work of GD was supported in part by David and Lucille Packard Foundation Fellowship for Science and Engineering, by Alfred P. Sloan foundation fellowship and by NSF grant PHY-0070787. The work of QS was supported by DOE under contract DE-FG02-91ER40626.

Note Added

The work described here was presented at a workshop in Heidelberg (April 4-7, 2001), and also at the EURESCO conference in Le Londe (France) May 11-16, 2001. After this talk was presented at the latter conference we learned from F. Quevedo of similar work carried out by C.P. Burgess, M. Majumdar, D. Nolte, F. Quevedo, G. Rajesh and R.-J. Zhang.

References

- [1] P. de Bernardis *et. al.* astro-ph/0105296.

- [2] G. Dvali, Q. Shafi and R. K. Schaefer Phys.Rev.Lett. **73**, 886 (1994), hep-ph/9406319; G. Lazarides, "*Supersymmetric Hybrid Inflation*" hep-ph/0011130 and references therein.
- [3] A.D. Linde, Phys.Lett. **B259**, (1991) 38; Phys.Rev. **D49** (1994) 748.
- [4] G. Dvali, S.-H.H. Tye, Phys. Lett. **B450**, 72 (1999), hep-ph/9812483.
- [5] C. P. Bachas, "*Lectures on D-branes*" hep-th/9806199; J.Polchinski, "*Tasi Lectures on D-branes*" hep-th/9611050.
- [6] D. H. Lyth, A. Riotto "*Particle Physics Models of Inflation and Cosmological Density Perturbations* Phys.Rep. **314**, 1 (1999), hep-ph/9807278; E. F. Bunn, A. R. Liddle and M. White, Phys.Rev. **D54**, 5917 (1996).
- [7] A. Sen, *Non-BPS States and Branes in String Theory* hep-th/9904207.